

MEEK Project Team chat meeting log 6.2.2009

06/02/2009 09:01:19 <Troy> Good morning

06/02/2009 09:01:50 * Erkki joins meek

06/02/2009 09:02:04 <Mike Pluke> Good morning

06/02/2009 09:02:16 <Mina> Hello everybody.

06/02/2009 09:02:23 <Erkki> Good morning, Everybody!

06/02/2009 09:03:17 <Erkki> We've received publicly comments from Karl. He can only join the chat this afternoon, but we can discuss some of them.

06/02/2009 09:04:04 <Erkki> I've also received privately comments from Troy. Those we'll definitely discuss in this chat.

06/02/2009 09:05:07 <Mike Pluke> I am afraid that I have not issued formal comments yet. One simple editorial change that needs to be made is to do a global replace of "user case" with "usage scenario" which is the term we use for a section heading - and is, I thin, a good one

06/02/2009 09:05:34 <Troy> It would be good to have info on Karl's proposal, and mine, at least external links, so more info on them can be found easily.

06/02/2009 09:06:08 <Troy> sounds good to me

06/02/2009 09:06:44 <Mike Pluke> Another statement that I think needs to be somewhere in the document is that the solution proposed for usage scenario 3 could be applied to existing keyboard solutions, and also after any other proposed solutions has been adipted

06/02/2009 09:06:50 <Erkki> Mike, I'll implement your proposed change.

06/02/2009 09:06:52 <Mike Pluke> that should be adopted

06/02/2009 09:07:37 <Erkki> Mike, I'll also implement your second proposal.

06/02/2009 09:08:02 <Mike Pluke> I am doing wel 🤔

06/02/2009 09:08:18 <Mike Pluke> that should be well - maybe my typing is not doing well!

06/02/2009 09:08:32 * SFS Hillevi quit (timeout)

06/02/2009 09:09:37 <Erkki> Troy, Tero can only join us this afternoon. Thus, I cannot have the notes posted on the MEEK website. Karl's note was sent to everyone, and we can present the details of Troy's comments.

06/02/2009 09:09:55 <Troy> OK

06/02/2009 09:10:13 <Troy> Who can save this chat?

06/02/2009 09:11:21 <Erkki> I'll save this chat and send it to Tero.

06/02/2009 09:12:27 <Troy> Great

06/02/2009 09:13:27 <Erkki> Incidentally, the SFS quick user's guide to the new Finnish kb layout is out of print.

06/02/2009 09:14:01 <Erkki> ...I meant, is out (not sold out as implied by my statement).

06/02/2009 09:15:07 <Erkki> Troy has proposed to change the bwginning of chapter 3 on page 4 as follows:

06/02/2009 09:15:54 <Erkki> In the past, the number of simultaneously representable characters, in a computing environment, was severely limited because of the 8 bit character encoding schemes in use. These encodings only allowed 256 unique values. In fact, even fewer characters could be represented, as some of the values were reserved for control characters.

06/02/2009 09:16:38 <Erkki> I'd propose we accept that wording.

06/02/2009 09:19:00 <Mike Pluke> Yes that is very clear and simpo

06/02/2009 09:19:33 <Mike Pluke> simple (I am trying to type and eat my breakfast - hence all the mistakes)!

06/02/2009 09:19:42 * Karl joins meek

06/02/2009 09:20:35 <Erkki> Also, In the second chapter of section 5, Troy proposes to add reference to 4.4 after the acronym CIEC 14. I agree.

06/02/2009 09:21:28 <Karl> Good morning, everybody. As I noted in my last mail, I have some time constraints today and possibly will not be able to participate the whole time.

06/02/2009 09:22:30 <Erkki> Good morning, Karl. Your participation is welcome even if incomplete (from a timing point of view).i

06/02/2009 09:22:33 <Mike Pluke> Agree to add the reference too

06/02/2009 09:23:05 <Karl> I can see the log starting at 09:05:34, seeing Troy requesting some info on my proposal, presumably the one I sent yesterday by mail.

06/02/2009 09:24:32 <Karl> Of course, I will provide you anything I am able to. I will look at the log as soon as it is published on the MEEK site, thus you don't need to repeat anything which I have missed now.

06/02/2009 09:24:37 <Troy> I was referring to the document. I meant that we should have the document contain info on the proposal.

06/02/2009 09:25:25 <Troy> I received your email. My comment was a response to your request for the same. I just worded myself a little differently.

06/02/2009 09:26:18 <Troy> We just have to keep in mind that we are drafting a recommendation, so we can't make concrete references to something which can change soon.

06/02/2009 09:26:40 <Erkki> Troy, could you clarify your proposed change to the end of section 6. I'm somewhat confused.

06/02/2009 09:26:47 <Troy> So, external references to details of a proposal can be a good solution.

06/02/2009 09:28:12 <Erkki> Troy, external references to work in progress are not stable at all.

06/02/2009 09:28:56 <Troy> OK. I see. You're right.

06/02/2009 09:29:21 <Karl> Troy, regarding references to things which can change, it was my idea to do the factual work during or shortly after the Berlin SC35 meeting, which starts Feb 15. Then, we probably know more about the progress in ISO work.

06/02/2009 09:29:27 <Troy> Re. section 6. Are you referring to the touch typist text?

06/02/2009 09:29:50 <Erkki> ...but we can describe the direction as envisaged now (and at the time of publication).

06/02/2009 09:30:07 <Erkki> Troy, yes.

06/02/2009 09:31:17 <Troy> I was trying to say that when the text was originally written, it was the only reference to touch typists. Since that time, the previous paragraph was modified to also include a reference to touch typists. Therefore the text should be modified to account for that.

06/02/2009 09:31:51 <Troy> The other changes were made so it would be easier to understand the examples.

06/02/2009 09:33:13 <Mike Pluke> Now I am confused - I cannot follow what is being talked about here - what changes?

06/02/2009 09:33:20 <Erkki> Troy, my fault, but I still cannot see what precise changes you are proposing.

06/02/2009 09:34:13 <Troy> I'll post the proposed new text.

06/02/2009 09:34:32 <Erkki> Mike, you don't have Troy's email, and I've been picking items from them as far as I've been able.

06/02/2009 09:34:33 <Troy> It should be recognized that touch typists and users who rely on keyboard engravings view keyboards differently. As an example, a touch typist who is accustomed to a qwerty layout, but using a qwertz keyboard, thinks the letter 'y' should

be on the key with 'z' engraved. From a non-touch typist's perspective, the key with the engraving for 'y' should produce 'y,' even though he/she might first loo

06/02/2009 09:35:29 <Mike Pluke> This is a proposal for a reworded/extended first paragraph of section 7.2:

06/02/2009 09:35:35 <Mike Pluke> A proposed design for Scenario 3 relies on online access to IM configuration files which are not already installed on the system. Each of these IM files relates to the user's requirements for their own needed characters and the specific keyboard design that is being used. These IM configuration files could be obtained in a simple manner from an online store or could be made available as part of a

06/02/2009 09:35:36 <Troy> To replace the sentence starting with "It should also be generally noted ..."

06/02/2009 09:36:23 <Mike Pluke> general session personalization process (e.g. as proposed in ETSI EG 202 325 and, more specifically in relation to public internet access, in ETSI TS 102 577).

06/02/2009 09:37:14 <Mike Pluke> Sorry the text got split across 2 blocks - also the word "online" was proposed to be deleted from the 1st sentence.

06/02/2009 09:37:33 <Erkki> Troy, now I finally got it. OK.

06/02/2009 09:38:01 <Mike Pluke> Sorry that my proposal interleaved with discussing Troy's text

06/02/2009 09:38:08 <Troy> Erkki, Great.

06/02/2009 09:38:29 <Troy> No problem, Mike. The revision looks good to me.

06/02/2009 09:39:14 <Erkki> Mike, I agree with Troy. OK for everybody else?

06/02/2009 09:39:59 <Mike Pluke> I put the ETSI references as e.g.'s as I don't think it is good to try to recommend a technical solution that is very broad in a very focussed document on keyboards

06/02/2009 09:41:10 <Erkki> Mike, fine.

06/02/2009 09:41:41 <Troy> I can't say anything about the technical aspects of the ETSI standards in relation to my proposal, but as I understand it, their purpose (session personalization) could quite naturally include a kbd layout setting.

06/02/2009 09:42:07 <Erkki> Troy has also proposed to change the beginning of 7.1. as follows:

06/02/2009 09:42:58 <Mike Pluke> Exactly - the documents I reference are not complete fully defined solutions - ongoing work is now taking place to do that - but they do indicate how exactly what you say could be a generally achieved and list the many benefits

06/02/2009 09:43:02 <Erkki> One approach, which has been made into a national standard, with several experimental implementations, uses the dead key method. Many European users of keyboards, other than the UK one, are familiar with the dead key method for keying "foreign" letters with diacritics.

06/02/2009 09:43:32 <Troy> Mike, excellent.

06/02/2009 09:44:14 <Erkki> Troy would also like either dead key or dead letter key to be used throughtout the document. Which one?

06/02/2009 09:44:19 <Mike Pluke> I like Troy's wording. Again clear, simple and complete.

06/02/2009 09:44:46 <Karl> I agree, too.

06/02/2009 09:45:08 <Mike Pluke> As an English person I could not possibly comment 🤔

06/02/2009 09:45:56 <Troy> I recommend "dead key" or even "dead-key"

06/02/2009 09:46:41 <Mike Pluke> That is nice and simple - perhaps the hyphen may make it more readable

06/02/2009 09:48:33 <Troy> Looking with Google, "dead key" shows up more. Coincidentally, the only refernce to "dead-key" I see is on my own Kotoistus pages. 🤔

06/02/2009 09:49:06 <Troy> Sorry, wrong. Microsoft uses it too.

06/02/2009 09:49:17 <Mike Pluke> OK let's lose the hyphen - in context I think it will be very clear

06/02/2009 09:49:19 <Erkki> Dead key is the term used in the Finnish standard. However, I'm happy with the hyphenated version.

06/02/2009 09:49:55 <Erkki> Well, I was late. Dead key it is, then.

06/02/2009 09:49:53 <Mike Pluke> If Microsoft use it then I guess many people will be familiar with it

06/02/2009 09:51:03 <Erkki> So what's the verdict after these crossing opinions?

06/02/2009 09:51:20 <Karl> Unfortunately, I have to say good bye for now. I hope to meet you again in the afternoon. Also, I hope to meet some of you personally at the Berlin meeting.

06/02/2009 09:51:52 <Erkki> Karl, see you in the afternoon.

06/02/2009 09:51:56 * Karl quit (timeout)

06/02/2009 09:53:13 <Troy> Generally hyphens get added when a word is used enough often. In this case I would say the phrase "dead key" is mostly used only in expert circles, that it won't matter either way. I don't think anyone will complain if we switch to "dead-key," if we think it's clearer.

06/02/2009 09:55:14 <Troy> "dead key" wins the popularity contest on the net, though, 10-1.

06/02/2009 09:55:29 <Mike Pluke> After looking at all opinions, I register a neutral vote on this issue - I'll leave it to the keyboard experts

06/02/2009 09:55:57 <Troy> If we use "dead key" there is no problem.

06/02/2009 09:57:55 <Erkki> Troy has also asked the abbreviation SFS be explained towards the end of 7.1. He'd also like to change "The approach taken there is.." to be changed to "was", which I don't think is necessary, but will abide .

06/02/2009 09:58:07 <Erkki> Dead key it is!

06/02/2009 09:58:11 <Troy> "dead letter key" only scores 200+ hits. "dead key" (which includes "dead-key" 🗑️ gives you 67k+ hits.

06/02/2009 10:00:08 <Mike Pluke> I agree about explaining SFS - I recall that it did cause me to pause and cross-reference when reading the document. Not quite sure where the other text to be replaced by "was" is

06/02/2009 10:01:00 <Erkki> Mike, In the chapter before the last, describing the Swedish approach.

06/02/2009 10:02:45 <Mike Pluke> Yes - I think was is correct - as the approach was taken in the past

06/02/2009 10:04:05 <Erkki> Fine!

06/02/2009 10:05:00 <Mike Pluke> I have no more proposals for changes to the document

06/02/2009 10:06:09 <Erkki> Troy has made some other suggestions, relatively minor, but I'd like to discuss them with him.

06/02/2009 10:06:23 <Troy> OK

06/02/2009 10:06:48 <Erkki> I'd propose that we'll deal with those and Karl's this afternoon.

06/02/2009 10:06:59 <Troy> Sounds good.

06/02/2009 10:07:40 <Erkki> Thus, good bye for now (if you agree) and see you in less than two hours.

06/02/2009 10:07:51 <Mike Pluke> OK - I will try to join this afternoon also

06/02/2009 10:08:24 <Erkki> Great, Troy has also indicated that he'd be there.

06/02/2009 10:08:25 <Mike Pluke> BYE

06/02/2009 10:08:33 <Erkki> Bye!

06/02/2009 10:08:55 <Troy> See you then. Bye

06/02/2009 12:02:19 <Erkki> Hello, everybody!

06/02/2009 12:02:34 <Tero> Hi!

06/02/2009 12:03:16 <Erkki> Karl, Mike, Troy and possibly Marc will join us this afternoon.,

06/02/2009 12:04:09 * Mike Pluke joins meek

06/02/2009 12:04:21 * Troy joins meek

06/02/2009 12:06:56 * Tero quit (timeout)

06/02/2009 12:08:37 <Troy> Greetings

06/02/2009 12:09:21 * Mike Pluke quit (timeout)

06/02/2009 12:10:25 <Mike Pluke> Hello

06/02/2009 12:10:48 <Erkki> Karl isn't there yet, and what we have essentially left are his comments.

06/02/2009 12:11:30 * Mike Pluke quit (timeout)

06/02/2009 12:11:54 <Mike Pluke> I think you said there were a few more small comments from Troy?

06/02/2009 12:14:11 <Erkki> Anyway, I propose that I make all the changes that we will have agreed to-day, and send the draft out for a quick review by the participants of the chat. I could then ask Tero to post it on the MEEK website and send a note to that effect for the full WS. What would be the proper review time to submit the WS comments?

06/02/2009 12:15:43 <Erkki> Mike, I've discussed the issue I had with Troy, and he agreed to have mistaken.

06/02/2009 12:16:24 <Mike Pluke> OK - just Karl's comments then

06/02/2009 12:16:36 <Erkki> I think that the rest of the small comments can be handled by indicating all the changes in the draft that I'll send out to you.

06/02/2009 12:16:49 <Mike Pluke> Good

06/02/2009 12:18:06 <Håvard> Fine with me!

06/02/2009 12:18:30 <Erkki> On Karl's comments: We can hardly use the work in progress to create an appendix for 9995-3. Any opinions?

06/02/2009 12:18:40 * Mina joins meek

06/02/2009 12:24:20 <Troy> I think the reader must be left with a clear picture of what the various solutions are, where to get additional info on them, and our opinions on their usability.

06/02/2009 12:25:24 <Troy> Since the 9995-3 is not finalized, we can only comment on it in a limited way.

06/02/2009 12:25:24 * Mike Pluke quit (timeout)

06/02/2009 12:26:28 <Mike Pluke> Everything seems to be so tentative on the 9995 front. We know about the existing 9995-3 and we can, and do, comment on its limitations. Everything else is surely speculation?

06/02/2009 12:29:28 <Erkki> I'd soften Karl's statement "The revision of ISO 9995-3, which is expected to be published in the autumn of 2009 or in the spring of 2010, provides a possible solution based in an international standard in spite of some drawbacks which are owed to compatibility requirements." to

06/02/2009 12:31:34 * Erkki quit (timeout)

06/02/2009 12:34:02 <Erkki> "The revision of ISO 9995-3, which is expected to be published pending balloting, could also provide a possible solution based in an international standard in spite of its drawbacks that stem from compatibility requirements with the current Part 3."

06/02/2009 12:35:28 <Håvard> ok

06/02/2009 12:36:18 <Mike Pluke> ok

06/02/2009 12:36:51 <Tero> Sounds good.
06/02/2009 12:37:02 <Troy> OK to me
06/02/2009 12:40:43 <Erkki> "The revision of ISO 9995-3, which is expected to be published pending balloting, could also provide a possible solution based on an international standard, in spite of its drawbacks that stem from compatibility requirements with the current Part 3."
06/02/2009 12:41:58 <Erkki> The other actual text proposed by Karl seems to be possible to incorporate only after the Berlin meeting.
06/02/2009 12:43:18 <Mike Pluke> Agreed - I think I must leave now - I await the updated document - Bye for now
06/02/2009 12:43:55 <Erkki> I propose that we add the text for the Lisbon Treaty for the second version to the full WS, since I haven't had the time to formulate in - I was in Brussels earlier this week - but I don't want to hold this.
06/02/2009 12:44:38 <Mike Pluke> OK - Bye
06/02/2009 12:44:46 * Mike Pluke quit
06/02/2009 12:45:37 <Erkki> I'll also continue my thus far unsuccessful attempts to get hold of Evangelos Melagrakis from ELOT (Greek Standards).
06/02/2009 12:46:25 <Erkki> Does anyone of you have anything to add at this stage?
06/02/2009 12:47:07 <Troy> I think that's it.
06/02/2009 12:47:31 <Troy> It all looks good to me.
06/02/2009 12:47:56 <Håvard> Nothing more from me. (Not that there has been a lot from me so far ...)
06/02/2009 12:50:02 <Erkki> Fine! I'll circulate the draft, and Tero will post it (after further revision) on Monday. Shall we ask for comments by March 2nd, allowing for a three-week comment period?
06/02/2009 12:50:22 * Håvard quit (timeout)
06/02/2009 12:51:28 <Erkki> For lack of objections, we'll implement this schedule.
06/02/2009 12:52:12 <Troy> Sounds good. Look forward to reading it.