

## MEEK Project Team chat 8.5.2009

07:46:23 \* Håvard joins meek  
08/05/2009 07:55:39 \* Tero joins meek  
08/05/2009 07:57:42 \* Erkki joins meek  
08/05/2009 07:58:32 <Erkki> Good morning and welcome to the chat!  
08/05/2009 07:58:33 \* Karl joins meek  
08/05/2009 07:58:47 <Tero> Good morning!  
08/05/2009 07:59:15 <Karl> Good morning, everybody  
08/05/2009 08:01:05 <Håvard> Good morning  
08/05/2009 08:01:39 \* SFS Hillevi joins meek  
08/05/2009 08:01:57 <SFS Hillevi> Good morning!  
08/05/2009 08:02:04 \* Troy joins meek  
08/05/2009 08:02:18 <Troy> Good morning.  
08/05/2009 08:03:07 <Erkki> Mike will also join the meeting, and I expect him to have comments.  
08/05/2009 08:03:31 <Erkki> We could, however start with the EU and language question.  
08/05/2009 08:04:15 <Erkki> What is your position on mthe text I proposed yesterday?  
08/05/2009 08:04:27 <Tero> I'm still working on my comments but I'll send them in later today.  
08/05/2009 08:05:21 <Tero> Namely, there are more of them than I expected.  
08/05/2009 08:05:33 <Erkki> Tero, what you are saying is that we cannot agree in this chat on the version to be sent out.  
08/05/2009 08:06:24 <Tero> My comments are mostly editorial, so I don't suppose they'd benefit from discussion anyway?  
08/05/2009 08:06:29 <Troy> I have mostly editorial comments.  
08/05/2009 08:07:31 <Erkki> So, what about the new text for the languages?  
08/05/2009 08:08:37 <Karl> No problems for me, but I am no expert regarding this "political" part.  
08/05/2009 08:08:53 <Håvard> I think that it is fine! I believe that "The Netherlands" should be "the Netherlands", and "United Kingdom" should be "the United Kingdom".  
08/05/2009 08:09:29 <Troy> My nly commewnt on that section is: "The CEN membership is open to ..." - > "CEN membership is open to ..." Reasoning: Remove the now unnecessary "The".  
08:10:13 <Erkki> Håvard, I copied the list directly from your note. However, I understand that it is "The".  
08/05/2009 08:10:52 <Håvard> Sorry; I just think that you should do a better job than me :)  
08/05/2009 08:11:17 <Erkki> Troy, the sentence you are referreing to no longer exists. The new text proposal was included in my note of yesterday.  
08/05/2009 08:12:49 <Troy> Oops. I must have read the wrong text then  
08/05/2009 08:14:35 <Erkki> As a side issue, in line with Håvard's comments, I propose to change "EU wide" in 4 Changing environment to "European".  
08/05/2009 08:15:05 <Troy> OK, by bad. I had read it, and it was OK. When writing my comments this morning, I neglected to check that text change.  
08/05/2009 08:15:50 <Håvard> Agree on changing "EU" to "European" (no surprise ... )  
08/05/2009 08:18:12 <Håvard> One character set detail: The use of "quote" isn't consistent with "proper" English usage; it should be "quote". The character " is for second and inch, not quote.  
08/05/2009 08:18:43 <Håvard> (Since we are dealing with that kind of thing in this document.)  
08/05/2009 08:20:32 <Erkki> Håvard, we'll check all single and double quotes before releasing the draft.  
08/05/2009 08:23:35 <Erkki> I just tried to call the missing Mike, but he didn't answer the phone. I understood from his note of yesterday that he would participate.  
08/05/2009 08:24:53 \* Mike joins meek  
08/05/2009 08:25:22 <Mike> |Dreadfully sorry - my PC has taken over 30 minutes to start!

08/05/2009 08:25:49 <Mike> I dropped my phone when you called!  
08/05/2009 08:26:05 <Mike> Having a really bad morning!  
08/05/2009 08:26:23 <Mike> I will review the chat quickly now  
08/05/2009 08:26:46 <Erkki> Welcome, Mike!  
08:27:20 <Troy> Good morning, Mike.  
08/05/2009 08:27:57 <Troy> Or, for a better morning, I should say.  
08/05/2009 08:29:27 <Mike> I have now caught up with the debate - I put my single editorial comment in a previous email - basically to standardise the body text of the document to a consistent smaller font - e.g. the font on the original page 7  
08/05/2009 08:30:00 <Erkki> Once Mike has reviewed the chat log, I'd propose that we walk through the document chapter by chapter.  
08/05/2009 08:31:16 <Erkki> Mike, the formatting question will be dealt with between Tero and CEN NOPS.  
08/05/2009 08:32:20 <Mike> I am up-to-date on the chat - I have no new comments  
08/05/2009 08:32:30 <Erkki> Thus: Foreward?  
08/05/2009 08:32:56 <Erkki> Sorry, FOREWORD?  
08/05/2009 08:34:08 <Håvard> no comment  
08/05/2009 08:34:50 <Troy> My first comment is on the index.  
08/05/2009 08:35:07 <Erkki> Apparently no changes required. Thus: INTRODUCTION (with the new text on the languages, as discussed).  
08/05/2009 08:35:08 <Troy> Actually, the title for chapter 6.  
08/05/2009 08:35:57 <Troy> But I guess that can be changed there.  
08/05/2009 08:36:25 <Erkki> Troy, the index entries are generated from the body.  
08/05/2009 08:37:07 <Troy> OK. Good. Anyway, looking at the index, it becomes apparent there is a problem with section 6.  
08/05/2009 08:37:10 <Troy> 1. Index and section 6. 6. SAMPLE DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION -> 6. PROPOSED SOLUTIONS Reasoning: There are now three solutions, but the original title is from a time when only one existed.  
08/05/2009 08:37:39 <Mike> No comments on Foreword other than general ones relating to the need for formatting issues - e.g. spaces between paragraphs and fonts - but I will assume that these will all be dealt with by CEN  
08/05/2009 08:39:25 <Erkki> How about: 2 SCOPE?  
08/05/2009 08:40:26 <Troy> "easily comprehensible manner, which implies that ..." -> "easily comprehensible manner. This implies that ..." Reasoning: The sentence is very long.  
08/05/2009 08:42:08 <Erkki> I agree with Troy.  
08/05/2009 08:42:09 <Mike> Good change  
08/05/2009 08:43:00 <Karl> I agree, also  
08/05/2009 08:43:14 <Håvard> ok  
08/05/2009 08:43:15 <Mike> I'm OK with Scope now  
08/05/2009 08:43:59 <Erkki> How about 3 BACKGROUND?  
08/05/2009 08:44:50 <Erkki> ... the first chapters, including 3.1. main.  
08/05/2009 08:45:15 <Erkki> 3.1.1?  
08/05/2009 08:45:59 <Erkki> 3.1.2 ? (At least and ending parenthesis is to be removed.)  
08/05/2009 08:46:27 <Mike> Do I assume correctly that the bullets are direct quotes from original documents - if so there is no point in looking for minor editorial corrections  
08:46:39 <Erkki> 31.1.3? (Also an extra ending parenthesis.)  
08/05/2009 08:47:35 <Erkki> Mike, yes they are direct quotes, not exhaustive, though, and possibly excessive.

08/05/2009 08:48:03 <Mike> I am OK with including them all  
08/05/2009 08:48:45 <Erkki> 3.1.4 has more text than what is in the treaty.  
08/05/2009 08:49:26 <Erkki> ... particularly the last chapter.  
08/05/2009 08:52:58 <Erkki> Any comment?  
08/05/2009 08:54:35 <Erkki> Are you awake?  
08/05/2009 08:55:29 <Troy> No comments from ,me.  
08/05/2009 08:55:31 <Mike> As previously stated I am OK with current text  
08/05/2009 08:55:50 <Karl> In fact I have no real comments on the whole paper as it is now.  
08/05/2009 08:55:57 <Tero> My first comments worth discussing are for chapter 5, so I agree with what's before that.  
08/05/2009 08:56:09 <Troy> My first comments are on section 5.  
08/05/2009 08:56:12 <Håvard> I also don't have any further comments  
08/05/2009 08:58:22 <Mike> One small revision in last text at end of 4. Suggest change ", also the revision of ISO/IEC 9995-3, which is expected to be published relatively soon, pending balloting, could "  
08/05/2009 08:58:37 <Erkki> I'll have to recheck the applicability of the end part of the last para in 4 CHANGING ENVIRONMENT, because there is more description on the work of SC35 elsewhere.  
08/05/2009 08:58:48 <Mike> to ", the revision of ISO/IEC 9995-3, which is expected to be published relatively soon, pending balloting, could also" - I moved "also"  
08/05/2009 08:59:50 <Troy> I agree.  
08/05/2009 08:59:59 <Karl> ok  
08/05/2009 09:00:17 <Håvard> ok  
08/05/2009 09:01:12 <Troy> There is a revision of 9995-3, and a proposed 9995-9. Should both be referred to?  
08/05/2009 09:02:04 <Troy> I mean, what would be an accurate way to describe these documents?  
08/05/2009 09:02:10 <Karl> yes, as they are different projects with different implications.  
08/05/2009 09:02:14 <Troy> in this context.  
08/05/2009 09:02:39 <Erkki> Troy, 9995-9 is mentioned as the new part in the first sentence of that para.  
08/05/2009 09:03:23 <Erkki> It just has not been given a name here.  
08/05/2009 09:03:28 <Mike> Maybe 9995-9 should be called by its name  
08/05/2009 09:04:02 <Erkki> Mike, it doesn't have an official name yet, as far as I understand.  
08/05/2009 09:04:24 <SFS Hillevi> ISO 9995-3 is on committee internal balloting and closing 21.8.  
08/05/2009 09:04:31 <Mike> Sorry - I meant 9995-9  
08/05/2009 09:05:06 <SFS Hillevi> ISO 9995 -9 is a New Proposal called Information technology - Keyboard layouts for text and office systems - Part 9: Multilingual-usage, multiscrypt keyboard group layouts  
08/05/2009 09:05:50 <SFS Hillevi> The ballot is closed 2009-07-27  
08/05/2009 09:06:39 <Erkki> Consequently, we could add after the "new part" "ISO/IEC 9995-9)".  
08/05/2009 09:07:07 <Erkki> ... in full parenthesis, of course.  
08/05/2009 09:07:09 <Karl> yes  
08/05/2009 09:07:16 <Mike> That was my thought  
08/05/2009 09:07:27 <Troy> I sounds fine to me.  
08/05/2009 09:08:16 <Erkki> OK, USAGE SCENARIOS?  
08/05/2009 09:10:14 <Tero> In the end of the seventh chapter, there's the example about a Finnish user on a foreign keyboard. I'd suppose some other characters might also be needed apart from those four, \ and /, for example.  
08/05/2009 09:10:40 <Tero> : might neither be trivial on every layout?  
08/05/2009 09:11:38 <Tero> In addition, it would probably be politically correct to include å as well, since we're talking about Finnish users and not specifically users of the Finnish language.

08/05/2009 09:13:13 <Troy> That sounds fine. The thought was originally that it applied to a Finnish language user, but it is indeed politically more appealing to include å, I think.

08/05/2009 09:13:45 <Tero> Then, the last sentence of this chapter is not very clear. I don't, however, have a suggestion to replace it because I'm not sure I understand it in the first place.

08/05/2009 09:15:07 <Erkki> I've now added å.

08/05/2009 09:15:26 <Troy> The qwerty/qwertz issue?

08/05/2009 09:15:43 <Tero> That one.

08/05/2009 09:15:52 <Erkki> Troy, this was originally your submission.

08/05/2009 09:16:29 <Tero> Speaking of which, I noticed "qwerty" is also written as "QWERTY" on some occasions in the text. We probably should settle for either?

08/05/2009 09:16:36 <Troy> Yes. I will try to simplify it again.

09:17:30 <Troy> It's been revised once before. The idea is tricky to explain. I will give it another go, though.

08/05/2009 09:17:44 <Troy> I have two other edits:

08/05/2009 09:17:53 <Tero> Maybe try breaking it into several sentences?

08/05/2009 09:17:55 <Erkki> Tero, I'd propose that we drop the capital letter form.

08/05/2009 09:18:02 <Troy> On qwerty, I side with QWERTY.

08/05/2009 09:18:19 <Troy> Or qwerty is fine too. :)

08/05/2009 09:18:25 <Troy> I don't care

08/05/2009 09:19:08 <Troy> You're right, Tero. It's a darn long sentence.

08/05/2009 09:19:14 <Troy> I will chop it up.

08/05/2009 09:21:46 <Troy> Next one?

08/05/2009 09:23:15 <Troy> I am working on the edit for the qwerty sentence. Meanwhile, I have two minor edits.

08/05/2009 09:23:27 <Troy> "The solution should not rely on the availability of an IM for the targeted language always being available on the system." -> "The solution should not rely on an IM (Input Method) for the targeted language being available on the system." Reasoning: First use of "IM" within the document. IM is used later on as well, so it's use itself is OK. Also, the sentence had some redundancies, so I moved the

08/05/2009 09:23:55 <Troy> words around a bit.

08/05/2009 09:24:32 <Erkki> I'd say "of an input method (IM)"

08/05/2009 09:24:57 <Mike> I agree with the general re-arrangement and Erkki's comment

08/05/2009 09:29:17 <Troy> Later on, IM is used alone. Therefore it would be consistent to use it alone here as well.

08/05/2009 09:29:28 <Troy> With the explanation within the parens.

08/05/2009 09:29:46 <Troy> But either way is OK with me.

08/05/2009 09:31:45 <Mike> It is OK to use IM on its own later - it is just more normal to say "Input Method (IM)" than "IM (Input Method)" when the term and its abbreviation are first introduced

08/05/2009 09:33:19 <Troy> OK, agreed.

08/05/2009 09:33:56 <Erkki> Mike, would you use it in the form Input Method (IM) or input method (IM) as I proposed?

09:34:48 <Håvard> (I am sorry that I have to leave for another commitment. Bye for now! )

08/05/2009 09:35:09 <Erkki> Bye, Håvard!

08/05/2009 09:35:29 \* Håvard quit (timeout)

08/05/2009 09:36:38 <Troy> Bye Håvard.

08/05/2009 09:37:02 <Troy> I have a proposed change to the "As a related matter" paragraph.

08/05/2009 09:37:08 <Mike> I am not sure - it depends on what is conventional in talking about IM - use the form ususally used in talking about IMs I would suggest - maybe the version with

capitals makes it clearer that it is a formal term rather than just a vague method related to inputting

08/05/2009 09:39:03 <Erkki> OK, Mike.

08/05/2009 09:39:24 <Troy> The proposed paragraph: It should be apparent from the above example that it is impossible to create a mechanism to allow users to switch between keyboards in a totally transparent manner. Some keys will always have conflicting engravings on different national keyboards.

08/05/2009 09:41:05 <Mike> Is "conflicting" clear to all users? What is conflicting with what else? I think I know - but is it clear?

08/05/2009 09:43:08 <Troy> I think it should be fine, considering that there is a clear reference to the above paragraph.

08/05/2009 09:43:23 <Troy> But I guess I am not the best person to comment on that

08/05/2009 09:44:21 <Mike> I think you are right - I was reading it too much in isolation

08/05/2009 09:46:45 <Troy> I have a minor comment on the above paragraph.

08/05/2009 09:47:00 <Troy> "The IMs that are used should be designed for people who are not touch typists, and therefore rely on keyboard engravings." → "The IMs that are used should be designed for people who are not touch typists, and who therefore rely on keyboard engravings." Changed "and therefore" to "and who therefore." Reasoning: Sounds better. No need to change if I'm the only one who thinks so.

08/05/2009 09:47:35 <Troy> Looking at it again, I am not sure myself.

08/05/2009 09:48:31 <Mike> I like the addition of "who"

08/05/2009 09:48:56 <Troy> glad to hear that.

08/05/2009 09:50:51 <Erkki> I agree.

08/05/2009 09:52:35 <Erkki> Can we move on to 6?

08/05/2009 09:53:10 <Tero> Let's do it. I have to attend another meeting in ten minutes.

08/05/2009 09:53:40 <Erkki> Troy suggested PROPOSED SOLUTIONS

08/05/2009 09:54:22 <Tero> At the end of the third paragraph, there's another sentence that I fail to grasp ("They could also..." 🙄).

08/05/2009 09:54:52 <Mike> I like proposed solutions

08/05/2009 09:55:18 <Karl> "Proposed solutions" is OK with me

08/05/2009 09:55:36 <Erkki> I'll change the title.

08/05/2009 09:55:39 <Troy> I have a change there.

08/05/2009 09:56:24 <Mike> **Tero** is correct - that sentence does not read correctly

08/05/2009 09:56:31 <Troy> Several of the letters with multiple diacritics (e.g., many of the Lithuanian accented letters) cannot be encoded as pre-composed characters, and they'll thus have to be entered as decomposed characters. They could also be entered using such an extended dead key method as characters that could not be explicitly listed as being supported. This sentence is not clear in the current context. A propos

08/05/2009 09:56:41 <Karl> Regarding "They could also ...": "Such letters could also ..."

08/05/2009 09:56:56 <Troy> proposed fix: As a character encoding issue, it should be noted that several of the letters with multiple diacritics (e.g., many of the Lithuanian accented letters) cannot be encoded as pre-composed characters, and their representation, as generated by a keyboard driver, will thus always be as decomposed characters.

08/05/2009 09:58:26 <Karl> Sounds good.

08/05/2009 09:58:39 <Erkki> I wouldn't mention the character encoding issue. I'd start with It should be noted...

08/05/2009 09:58:43 <Tero> I also have a general comment regarding the whole text, namely that I suppose we're expected to use formal language, meaning, for example, that each "don't" should be replaced with "do not" etc.

08/05/2009 09:59:01 <Erkki> Mike?

08/05/2009 09:59:31 <Mike> Yes - best to avoid don't

08/05/2009 09:59:47 <Tero> Is there a reason for "pre-composed" to be written differently from "decomposed"? Wouldn't "precomposed" without a dash be more, well, composed?

08/05/2009 09:59:54 <Erkki> Incidentally, since we still have a lot to go through, should we schedule another chat for the rest in the near future?=  
08/05/2009 10:00:08 <Tero> Seems like that.

08/05/2009 10:00:27 <Karl> Yes

08/05/2009 10:00:36 <Erkki> So, when?

08/05/2009 10:00:40 <Mike> Yes

08/05/2009 10:01:00 <Tero> Beginning of next week?

08/05/2009 10:01:09 <Tero> Tuesday?

08/05/2009 10:01:22 <Erkki> Monday, Tuesday?

08/05/2009 10:01:46 <Karl> For me, Monday fits best. Thursday and Friday are OK also.

08/05/2009 10:02:18 <Erkki> I'll be out of town on Thursday and possibly Friday.

08/05/2009 10:02:19 <Mike> I think I can fit most days next week

08/05/2009 10:02:29 <Erkki> Monday, what time?

08/05/2009 10:02:33 <Troy> Monday sounds good.

08/05/2009 10:02:42 <Troy> same time?

08/05/2009 10:02:44 <Erkki> Same time?

08/05/2009 10:02:50 <Tero> Sounds good.

08/05/2009 10:02:52 <Troy> unless earlier works for Mike

08/05/2009 10:02:52 <Karl> Any time (from 10:00 on) is OK for me.

08/05/2009 10:03:09 <Erkki> Can we agree on Monday, 10.00 Brussels time?

08/05/2009 10:03:21 <Troy> Yes.

08/05/2009 10:03:38 <Tero> I can also send the version with my comments to all of you, even though the most important points have already been discussed.

08/05/2009 10:03:47 <SFS Hillevi> ok

10:04:03 <Mike> Yes - I will try to start my PC a lot earlier - or somehow turbocharge it

08/05/2009 10:04:04 <Erkki> Fine, thus Bye for now! (I have a doctor's appointment.)

08/05/2009 10:04:18 <Mike> Bye all

08/05/2009 10:04:21 <Tero> Bye.

08/05/2009 10:04:25 <Troy> I will also email the rest of my comments.

08/05/2009 10:04:27 <SFS Hillevi> Bye all

08/05/2009 10:04:29 <Troy> Bye.

08/05/2009 10:04:34 <Erkki> Thanks for the good work. Let's continue that on Monday!